

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov			
<u> </u>	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
09/835,491	04/17/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
25944 759 OLIFF & BER P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA,	28	Kinya Ozawa	109137	5417	
			EXAMINGR		
			DUONG, THOI V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	w			
	Office Auti	09/835,491					
٠	Office Action Summary	Examiner	OZAWA ET AL.				
	The MAIL INC.	Thoi V Duong	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	Correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
			•				
	2a) This patient is Figure 2						
	2b) X This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
A	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elements	ection requirement.					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arc: a)	_					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	101.					
	13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	ority under 25 H. O. O.					
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	only under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	/A haan raasiyad					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.						
The attached detailed Office action for a list of the and the							
1.	The strict is made of a claim for domestic priority under 25 H C C						
1.	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
		- 33 120 and	a ∪∟ 1∠ (,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 14. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
.S. Pate	nt and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 04-01)						

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Request for Reconsideration, Paper No.
 filed July 02, 2003.

Currently, claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are pending in this application.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-8 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Numano et al. (USPN 6,313,898 B1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bos et al. (USPN 6,141,074) in view of Numano et al. (USPN 6,313,898 B1).

As shown in Fig. 1, Bos discloses a pixel area 10 of an active matrix liquid crystal display (LCD) device (col. 1, lines 15-18), comprising:

first and second substrates, the first substrate 14 having a surface proximate the second substrate, the second substrate 16 being a surface proximate the first substrate; an alignment film (not shown) disposed at each of the surfaces of the first and second substrates (col. 4, lines 1-65);

liquid crystal 12 disposed between the first and second substrates;

Art Unit: 2871

wherein a pretilt angle due to the alignment film is 0.5° to 30° for liquid crystal having positive dielectric anisotropy (col. 6, lines 33-37);

wherein the alignment film includes one of silicon oxide and silicon nitride (col. 8, lines 14-26).

Although Bos does not disclose the structure of the LCD device in details, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the active matrix LCD device of Bos comprises a plurality of scanning lines; a plurality of data lines; pixel areas defined by the scanning lines and the data lines; a switching element provided in each pixel area; and a pixel electrode provided in each pixel area.

Bos discloses a LCD device that is basically the same as that recited in claims 1 and 2 except for a relationship between a thickness of the liquid crystal and a space between the pixel electrodes. As shown in Fig. 23 Prior Art, Numano et al. discloses that if a thickness of the liquid crystal disposed the first and second substrates is represented as d, and a space defined between the pixel electrodes is represented as L, a ratio d/L is at least 1 (col. 2, lines 8-17) so as to prevent the disclination caused by the lateral direction electric field (col. 1, lines 36-52). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the LCD device of Bos with the teaching of Hattori by forming the two substrates and the pixel electrodes such that a ratio of a gap between the substrates and a spacing between the pixel electrodes is at least 1 for preventing the disclination caused by the lateral direction electric field.

Art Unit: 2871

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bos et al. 5. (USPN 6,141,074) in view of Numano et al. (USPN 6,313,898 B1) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Miyatake et al. (USPN 5,092,664).

The LCD device of Bos as modified in view of Numano et al. above includes all that is recited in claim 6 except for a projection type display apparatus employing such LCD device. As shown in Fig. 1, Miyatake discloses a projection type display apparatus, comprising:

a light source 15;

a light modulating device that modulates light emitted from the light source, the light modulating device including a liquid crystal device 17; and

a projection lens 18 that projects the light modulated by the light modulating device.

Fig. 2 shows a sectional view of the liquid crystal device 17 wherein alignment films 31, 32 are rubbed in order to align the molecules axes of the liquid crystal molecules at a pretilt angle. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the LCD device of Bos in the projection type display apparatus of Miyatake so as to obtain a display with high picture quality.

Claims 4, 5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 6. over Bos et al. (USPN 6,141,074) in view of Numano et al. (USPN 6,313,898 B1) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Ichikawa et al. (USPN 6,339,459 B1).

Art Unit: 2871

The LCD device of Bos as modified in view of Numano et al. above includes all that is recited in claim 4, 5, 7 and 8 except for a color projection type display apparatus employing such LCD device. As shown in Figs. 1A-1C, Ichikawa discloses a projection type display apparatus comprising:

a light source 1308;

a light modulating device that modulates light emitted from the light source, the light modulating device including the liquid crystal device 1302 that modulates light into color beams of red, green and blue display portions (col. 6, lines 3-49); and

a projection lens 1301 that projects the light modulated by the light modulating device.

Fig. 4 shows a LCD device comprising pixel electrodes 1326 of R, G, and B colors formed of AI (light-reflecting metal electrode) and a liquid crystal layer 1325 maintained in predetermined alignment by alignment layers (co. 8, lines 25-30). Ichikawa also discloses in prior art that the LCD device will be used not only for the personal computers, but also for workstations and televisions for home use (col. 1, lines 11-23). Accordingly, by having the LCD device of Bos with color pixels, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ this device in the projection type display apparatus of Ichikawa so as to obtain a display with much more symmetric viewing angle distribution, good gray scale capability and very high contrast.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3171. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3492.

Thoi Duong Jud

09/11/2003

S'' 2250